

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,	}	2:08-CV-01711-PMP-RJJ
Plaintiff,	}	<u>BASE FILE</u>
vs.	}	2:08-CV-01717-PMP-RJJ
	}	2:08-CV-01714-PMP-RJJ
	}	2:08-CV-01715-PMP-RJJ
KB HOME, et al.,	}	2:08-CV-01709-PMP-RJJ
	}	2:08-CV-01713-PMP-RJJ
	}	2:08-CV-01716-PMP-RJJ
Defendants.	}	2:09-CV-01154-PMP-RJJ
	}	2:09-CV-01547-PMP-RJJ
	}	2:09-CV-01548-PMP-RJJ
	}	2:09-CV-01549-PMP-RJJ
	}	2:09-CV-01550-PMP-RJJ
	}	2:09-CV-01551-PMP-RJJ
	}	2:09-CV-01552-PMP-RJJ
	}	
	}	<u>ORDER</u>
AND ALL RELATED ACTIONS	}	


Before the Court for consideration is Plaintiff JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Motion to Compel Production of Arbitration Documents from Defendants (Doc. #286). By this motion, JPMorgan seeks to compel Defendants to produce documents submitted as evidence during the arbitration between Focus Group South, LLC and the Home Builder Defendants. Having read and considered the fully-briefed motion, and the arguments of counsel presented at the hearing

1 conducted August 6, 2010, the Court finds that Plaintiff JPMorgan's motion should
2 be denied.

3 Denial of JPMorgan's motion will not deprive Plaintiff of documents or
4 evidence otherwise discoverable in this action. A wholesale production of
5 documents or evidence presented before the arbitration tribunal is simply not
6 necessary to enable Plaintiff JPMorgan to obtain discovery to which it is entitled in
7 this case. Moreover, an order requiring Defendants to produce all materials
8 presented before the arbitration panel would disregard any claim said Defendants
9 may have to a joint defense privilege.

10 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff JPMorgan's Motion to
11 Compel Production of Arbitration Documents from Defendants (Doc. #286) is
12 **DENIED.**

13 DATED: November 2, 2010.

14 

15

PHILIP M. PRO
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26